

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,925	12/22/2005	Juan Carlos De Martin	09952.0017-00000	2948	
	7590 07/12/200 IENDERSON, FARAF	7 BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAMINER		
LLP			MAI, LAM T		
	RK AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	, = = = = ;		2819		
				· 	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•		•	07/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			•	TH
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7 11
Office Author O		10/561,925	DE MARTIN ET AĹ.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		LAM T. MAI	2819	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It timely filed om the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	ecember 2005.		
		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the mer	rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application	1		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· —	Claim(s) 14,20 and 26 is/are rejected.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>15-19 and 21-25</u> is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.4	121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stag	е
	application from the International Bureau	` ` ' ' '	•	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ved.	
	·			
Attachmen				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/2005.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chu et al (USP 5,253,053).

Regarding claim 14, Chu discloses a technique in figures 10 and 11 that teaches a look up table having a extended signed codewords each extended codeword with a respective codeword in the look up table and decoding variable length encoded codewords by means of the signed decoding lookup table with sign bit set associated therewith (see col. 19 and 21).

Regarding claim 20, Chu discloses a technique in figures 10 and 11 that teaches at least memory (204, 206, 207) having stored therein data defining a signed decoding table look up having extended signed codewords each extended codeword with a respective codeword in the look up table and a processor unit (202) adapted to receive variable length encoded signals and to interact with the at least one memory to decode

Application/Control Number: 10/561,925 Page 3

Art Unit: 2819

the variable length encoded codeword by means of the signed decoding lookup table stored in the at least one memory, whereby the codewords are decoded together with sign bit set associated therewith (see col. 19 and 21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 26 is a program per se, not stored on a computer readable medium in executable form to enable it to cause a computer to perform a practical application with a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but they would be considered for allowable if they are rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Features of objected claims are not taught and suggested in prior art of record.

Claims 21-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. but they would be considered for allowable if they are rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Features of objected claims are not taught and suggested in prior art of record.

Cited References

Reference USP 5,646,618 is also read over limitation of claims 14 and 20.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2819

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAM T. MAI whose telephone number is (571)272-1807. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Barnie Rexford can be reached on (571) 272-7492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lam T. Mai Art Unit 2819